OUTP-14-20P

On the universal identity in second order hydrodynamics

S. Grozdanov*
Rudolf Peierls Centre for Theoretical Physics,
University of Oxford, 1 Keble Road,
Oxford OX1 3NP, United Kingdom
and
Instituut-Lorentz for Theoretical Physics,
Leiden University, Niels Bohrweg 2,
Leiden 2333 CA, The Netherlands

A. O. Starinets†
Rudolf Peierls Centre for Theoretical Physics,
University of Oxford, 1 Keble Road,
Oxford OX1 3NP, United Kingdom

arXiv:1412.5685v2 [hep-th] 29 Dec 2014

Abstract

We compute the 't Hooft coupling correction to the infinite coupling expression for the second order transport coefficient λ_2 in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $SU(N_c)$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory at finite temperature in the limit of infinite N_c, which originates from the R^4 terms in the low energy effective action of the dual type IIB string theory. Using this result, we show that the identity involving the three second order transport coefficients, $2\eta\tau_\Pi - 4\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 0$, previously shown by Haack and Yarom to hold universally in relativistic conformal field theories with string dual descriptions to leading order in supergravity approximation, holds also at next to leading order in this theory. We also compute corrections to transport coefficients in a (hypothetical) strongly interacting conformal fluid arising from the generic curvature squared terms in the corresponding dual gravity action (in particular, Gauss-Bonnet action), and show that the identity holds to linear order in the higher-derivative couplings. We discuss potential implications of these results for the near-equilibrium entropy production rate at strong coupling.

* grozdanov@lorentz.leidenuniv.nl
† andrei.starinets@physics.ox.ac.uk

I. INTRODUCTION

Fluid dynamics is currently understood as an effective theory approximating a given microscopic theory in the long-wavelength, long-time regime via a systematic derivative expansion [1], [2], [3], [4]. The corresponding equations of motion (Navier-Stokes equations, Burnett equations, and their generalizations) follow from combining the equations expressing conservation laws of the microscopic theory with the constitutive relations at a given order in the derivative expansion.[1]

In the simplest case of a relativistic neutral conformal fluid in a d-dimensional curved spacetime, the derivative expansion of the stress-energy tensor's expectation value has the form

$$T^{ab} = \varepsilon u^a u^b + P\Delta^{ab} + \Pi^{ab} + \cdots, \tag{1}$$

where u^a is the velocity of the fluid, ε is the energy density, P is the pressure fixed by the conformal invariance to obey the equation of state $\varepsilon = (d-1)P$, and the tensor Π^{ab} involving first and second derivatives of velocity is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi^{ab} = & -\eta\sigma^{ab} + \eta\tau_\Pi\left[{}^{\langle}D\sigma^{ab\rangle} + \frac{1}{d-1}\sigma^{ab}(\nabla\cdot u)\right] + \kappa\left[R^{\langle ab\rangle} - (d-2)u_c R^{c\langle ab\rangle d}u_d\right] \\ & + \lambda_1\sigma^{\langle a}{}_c\sigma^{b\rangle c} + \lambda_2\sigma^{\langle a}{}_c\Omega^{b\rangle c} + \lambda_3\Omega^{\langle a}{}_c\Omega^{b\rangle c},\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $D \equiv u^a\nabla_a$. We use notations and sign conventions of Ref. [2, 3], where the definitions of tensor structures such as vorticity Ω^{ab} appearing in Eq. (2) can also be found.

The six transport coefficients η, τ_Π, κ, λ_1, λ_2 and λ_3 in Eq. (2) are determined by the underlying microscopic theory. For conformal theories at finite temperature and zero chemical potential, they scale with the appropriate power of temperature (fixed by their scaling dimension) and may depend on coupling constants and other parameters of the theory such as the rank of the gauge group. For some theories, transport coefficients have been computed in the regime of weak coupling using kinetic theory approach and in the regime of strong coupling using gauge-string duality methods [7–10].

Of particular interest are the properties of transport coefficients universal for all or at least some class of theories. For example, the dimensionless ratio of shear viscosity to entropy density exhibits such a universality: assuming validity of gauge-string duality, one can prove that the ratio is equal to $1/4\pi$ for a large class of theories in the limit described by a dual supergravity (usually, in the limit of infinite coupling and infinite rank of the gauge group) [11], [12], [13–15]. This result is very robust and holds for any quantum field theory (conformal or not) with a gravity dual description (see [16] for a recent summary and discussion). Coupling constant corrections to the viscosity-entropy ratio are not expected to be universal: in each theory, the ratio is a non-trivial function of the coupling and other parameters. In particular, in the finite-temperature $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $SU(N_c)$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills (SYM) theory in $d = 3+1$ dimensions in the limit of infinite N_c and infinite 't Hooft coupling $\lambda = g_{YM}^2 N_c$, the shear viscosity to entropy density ratio appears to

[1] In this paper, we ignore issues related to non-analytic contributions to correlation functions at small frequency and the breakdown of the derivative expansion [5], [6]. This is justified as long as we work within classical (i.e. not quantum) gravity approximation. For $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $SU(N_c)$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory, this means staying in the limit of infinite N_c.

be a monotonic function of the coupling [11], with the correction to the universal infinite coupling result being positive [17, 18]:

$$\frac{\eta}{s} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\left(1 + 15\zeta(3)\lambda^{-3/2} + \dots\right). \tag{3}$$

Subsequent calculations revealed that in other (hypothetical) quantum field theories the corrections coming from higher derivative terms in the dual action can have either sign [19, 20]. In particular, for a (hypothetical) field theory dual to Einstein gravity with Gauss-Bonnet higher derivative terms[2]

$$S_{GB} = \frac{1}{2\kappa_5^2}\int d^5x\sqrt{-g}\left[R + \frac{12}{L^2} + \frac{\lambda_{GB}}{2}L^2\left(R^2 - 4R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\right)\right], \tag{4}$$

where L is the AdS radius, one finds [20]

$$\frac{\eta}{s} = \frac{1 - 4\lambda_{GB}}{4\pi} \tag{5}$$

non-perturbatively in the Gauss-Bonnet coupling λ_{GB}.

Much less is known about bulk viscosity[3] [26], [27], [28]. A proposal for a universal inequality involving bulk viscosity at strong coupling has been made by Buchel [29] but it seems there exist counterexamples to it [30].

Universal behavior is also known to exist for second order transport coefficients. Following the observation made in [31], Haack and Yarom [32] showed that for relativistic conformal fluids with $U(1)$ charges in $d > 3$ space-time dimensions[4] in the limit described by a dual two-derivative gravity, the following linear combination vanishes:

$$H \equiv 2\eta\tau_\Pi - 4\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 0\,. \tag{6}$$

We expect H to be (generically) a non-trivial function of the coupling: perturbative analysis in QED and other theories [33] suggests that $H \neq 0$ at weak coupling. In conformal kinetic theory one finds $2\eta\tau_\Pi + \lambda_2 = 0$ [2, 33, 34]. In the same regime, the ratio $\lambda_1/\eta\tau_\Pi$ is expected to be relatively close to 1 but the prediction $\lambda_1 = \eta\tau_\Pi$ [34] is understood to be an artifact of a too restrictive *ansatz* choice for the collision integral [33]. (If the prediction were true, we would have $H = 0$ in the kinetic regime.)

For the conformal theory dual to Gauss-Bonnet gravity (4), the statement that H is not identically zero would imply that generically one may expect $H = O(\lambda_{GB})$.

Intriguingly, Shaverin and Yarom found that $H = 0$ still holds in this theory to linear order in λ_{GB} [35]. However, the universal relation does not hold to order λ_{GB}^2 [36][5]. Indeed, as will be shown elsewhere [36], the full non-perturbative expression for $H(\lambda_{GB})$ implies that the identity (6) holds to linear order in λ_{GB} but is violated at $O(\lambda_{GB}^2)$:

$$H(\lambda_{GB}) = -\frac{\eta}{\pi T}\frac{(1-\gamma_{GB})\left(1-\gamma_{GB}^2\right)(3+2\gamma_{GB})}{\gamma_{GB}^2} = -\frac{40\lambda_{GB}^2\eta}{\pi T} + \mathcal{O}\left(\lambda_{GB}^3\right), \tag{7}$$

[2] As is well known [21–25], such a field theory would be suffering from inconsistencies such as causality violation unless restrictions are imposed on the Gauss-Bonnet coupling or other degrees of freedom are added to the Gauss-Bonnet gravity. Our working assumption is that it is possible to cure the problems in the ultraviolet without affecting the hydrodynamic (infrared) regime.

[3] For conformal theories, bulk viscosity is zero.

[4] In lower dimension, the coefficient λ_1 is undefined.

[5] This has been independently found in [37], [38] via fluid-gravity methods. We thank E. Shaverin and A. Yarom for sharing these results.

where $\gamma_{GB} = \sqrt{1-4\lambda_{GB}}$. Curiously, in that theory $H(\lambda_{GB}) \leq 0$ for all values of $\lambda_{GB} \in (-\infty, 1/4]$.

In this paper, we show that the identity $H = 0$ holds in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM at next to leading order in the strong coupling expansion (in the limit $N_c \to \infty$). In this limit, the shear viscosity and the second order transport coefficients of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM are given by

$$\eta = \frac{\pi}{8} N_c^2 T^3 \left(1 + 135\gamma + \dots\right), \tag{8}$$

$$\tau_\Pi = \frac{(2 - \ln 2)}{2\pi T} + \frac{375\gamma}{4\pi T} + \dots, \tag{9}$$

$$\kappa = \frac{N_c^2 T^2}{8} \left(1 - 10\gamma + \dots\right), \tag{10}$$

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{N_c^2 T^2}{16} \left(1 + 350\gamma + \dots\right), \tag{11}$$

$$\lambda_2 = -\frac{N_c^2 T^2}{16} \left(2\ln 2 + 5\left(97 + 54\ln 2\right)\gamma + \dots\right), \tag{12}$$

$$\lambda_3 = \frac{25 N_c^2 T^2}{2} \gamma + \dots, \tag{13}$$

where $\gamma = \lambda^{-3/2}\zeta(3)/8$. To leading order in the strong coupling limit (i.e. at $\gamma \to 0$), the results (8) - (13) were obtained in [2, 39, 40] using gauge-gravity and fluid-gravity dualities. Coupling constant corrections to all the coefficients except λ_2 were previously computed from the higher-derivative terms in the low-energy effective action of type IIB string theory [17, 18, 27, 41–44]. The $O\left(\lambda^{-3/2}\right)$ correction in the expression for λ_2 is the new result obtained in Section II of the present paper.

The corrections in formulae (8) - (13) can be trusted so long as they remain (infinitesimally) small relative to the leading order ($\lambda \to \infty$) result, as they are obtained by treating the higher-derivative terms in the equations of motion perturbatively. To leading order in the strong coupling limit, the coefficients (8) - (13) are independent of the coupling, in sharp contrast with their weak coupling behavior [45]. The coefficient λ_3 vanishes at $\lambda \to \infty$, and was argued to vanish also at $\lambda \to 0$ (this appears to be a generic property of weakly coupled theories). The full coupling constant dependence of transport coefficients (even at infinite N_c) appears to be beyond reach.

The results (8), (9), (11) and (12) imply that the identity (6) holds in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM at the order $O\left(\lambda^{-3/2}\right)$ in the strong coupling expansion.

II. COUPLING CONSTANT CORRECTION TO THE SECOND ORDER TRANSPORT COEFFICIENT λ_2 IN $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM THEORY

Coupling constant corrections to transport coefficients in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM can be computed using the following dual five-dimensional gravitational action with the R^4 higher derivative term[6]

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa_5^2} \int d^5x \sqrt{-g} \left(R + \frac{12}{L^2} + \gamma \mathcal{W}\right), \tag{14}$$

[6] As argued in [46], to compute physical quantities in the hydrodynamic regime of field theories dual to ten-dimensional type IIB supergravity with five compact dimensions, it is sufficient to consider only the reduced five-dimensional action.

where $\gamma = \alpha'^3\zeta(3)/8$ which is related to the value of the 't Hooft coupling λ in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM via $\alpha'/L^2 = \lambda^{-1/2}$. We set the AdS radius $L = 1$ in the following. The effective five-dimensional gravitational constant is connected to the rank of the gauge group by $\kappa_5 = 2\pi/N_c$. The term $\mathcal{W}$ is given in terms of the Weyl tensor $C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ by

$$\mathcal{W} = C^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}C_{\mu\beta\gamma\nu}C_{\alpha}{}^{\rho\sigma\mu}C^{\nu}{}_{\rho\sigma\delta} + \frac{1}{2}C^{\alpha\delta\beta\gamma}C_{\mu\nu\beta\gamma}C_{\alpha}{}^{\rho\sigma\mu}C^{\nu}{}_{\rho\sigma\delta}. \tag{15}$$

The α'-corrected black brane solution corresponding to the action (14) was found in [47]:

$$ds^2 = \frac{r_0^2}{u}\left(-f(u)Z_t dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2\right) + Z_u\frac{du^2}{4u^2 f}, \tag{16}$$

where $f(u) = 1 - u^2$, r_0 is the parameter of non-extremality of the black brane geometry, and the functions Z_t and Z_u are given by

$$Z_t = 1 - 15\gamma\left(5u^2 + 5u^4 - 3u^6\right), \qquad Z_u = 1 + 15\gamma\left(5u^2 + 5u^4 - 19u^6\right). \tag{17}$$

The Hawking temperature corresponding to the metric (16) is $T = r_0(1 + 15\gamma)/\pi$. The standard black three-brane solution is recovered in the limit $\gamma \to 0$.

To compute the 't Hooft coupling correction to the second order transport coefficient λ_2, we use the method of three-point functions and the associated Kubo formulae developed by Moore, Sohrabi and Saremi [44, 48] and by Arnold, Vaman, Wu and Xiao [49]. The relevant retarded three-point functions of the stress-energy tensor are defined in the Schwinger-Keldysh closed time path formalism [50, 51]. We now review the key elements of the method.

Consider a theory described by a microscopic Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}[\phi, h]$, where ϕ collectively denotes matter fields and h corresponds to a metric perturbation of a fixed background g (all tensor indices are suppressed). The degrees of freedom of the theory are then doubled, $\phi \to \phi^{\pm}$, $g \to g^{\pm}$, $h \to h^{\pm}$, where we use the index $\pm$ to denote whether the fields are defined on a "+"-time contour running from t_0 towards the final time $t_f > t_0$, or the "−"-contour with time running from the future t_f backwards to t_0. For the field theory considered at a finite temperature $T = 1/\beta$, the two separated real time contours can be joined together by a third, imaginary time part of the contour running between t_f and $t_f - i\beta$. We use φ to denote fields defined in the Euclidean theory on the imaginary time contour. The generating functional of the stress-energy tensor correlation functions can then be written as

$$\begin{aligned} W[h^+, h^-] = \ln \int \mathcal{D}\phi^+\mathcal{D}\phi^-\mathcal{D}\varphi \exp\Bigg\{ & i\int d^4x^+\sqrt{-g^+}\mathcal{L}[\phi^+(x^+), h^+] \\ & - \int_0^\beta d^4y\mathcal{L}_E[\varphi(y)] - i\int d^4x^-\sqrt{-g^-}\mathcal{L}[\phi^-(x^-), h^-]\Bigg\}. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

It is convenient to introduce the Keldysh basis $\phi_R = \frac{1}{2}(\phi^+ + \phi^-)$ and $\phi_A = \phi^+ - \phi^-$, and similarly for the metric perturbation and the stress-energy tensor. After variation, the classical expectation values always obey $\phi^+ = \phi^-$, hence all fields with an index A will vanish and one can define $T^{ab} \equiv T^{ab}_R$. Explicitly,

$$\left\langle T^{ab}_R(x)\right\rangle = -\frac{2i}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta W}{\delta h_{A\,ab}(x)}\bigg|_{h=0}. \tag{19}$$

The expectation value of T_R at $x = 0$ can then be expanded as

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle T_R^{ab}(0)\right\rangle =& G_R^{ab}(0) - \frac{1}{2}\int d^4x G_{RA}^{ab,cd}(0,x)h_{cd}(x) \\ &+ \frac{1}{8}\int d^4x d^4y G_{RAA}^{ab,cd,ef}(0,x,y)h_{cd}(x)h_{ef}(y) + \dots ,\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where $G_{RAA\dots}$ denote the *fully retarded* Green's functions [52] obtained by taking the appropriate number of derivatives with respect to h_A and h_R [48]

$$G_{RA\dots}^{ab,cd,\dots}(0,x,\dots) = \left.\frac{(-i)^{n-1}(-2i)^n\delta^n W}{\delta h_{A\,ab}(0)\delta h_{R\,cd}(x)\dots}\right|_{h=0} = (-i)^{n-1}\left\langle T_R^{ab}(0)T_A^{cd}(x)\dots\right\rangle . \tag{21}$$

Denoting the space-time coordinates of the four-dimensional field theory by t, x, y, z and choosing the momentum along the z axis, one can write the following Kubo formula for the coefficient λ_2 [44, 48]

$$\lambda_2 = 2\eta\tau_\Pi - 4\lim_{p,q\to 0}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^0\partial q^z}G_{RAA}^{xy,ty,xz}(p,q) . \tag{22}$$

The three-point functions are calculated by solving the bulk equations of motion to second order in metric perturbations of the background $g_{\mu\nu}^{(0)}$ (16),

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} + \epsilon\frac{r_0^2}{u}h_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} + \epsilon^2\frac{r_0^2}{u}h_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}, \tag{23}$$

where ϵ serves as a book-keeping parameter indicating the order of the perturbation. We impose the Dirichlet condition $h_{\mu\nu}^{(2)} = 0$ at the boundary [44]. The three-point functions are found by taking functional derivatives of the on-shell action with respect to the boundary value $h_{\mu\nu}^{(b)} = h_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}$ $(u \to 0)$. A simplifying feature of this procedure is that since equations of motion are solved to order ϵ^2, only the boundary term contributes to the three-point function and hence no bulk-to-bulk propagators appear in the calculation. To compute $G_{RAA}^{xy,ty,xz}(p,q)$, we turn on the following set of metric perturbations

$$h_{xy} = h_{xy}(r)e^{-ip^0t+iq^zz}, \qquad h_{xz} = h_{xz}(r)e^{-ip^0t}, \qquad h_{ty} = h_{ty}(r)e^{iq^zz}. \tag{24}$$

In the following, we use the notations $\omega \equiv p^0$, $q \equiv q^z$ and $T_0 \equiv r_0/\pi$.

At first order in ϵ, the metric perturbations can be written as expansions in γ

$$h_{xy}^{(1)} = h_{xy}^{(b)}e^{-i\omega t+iqz}\left(Z_{xy} + \gamma Z_{xy}^{(\gamma)}\right), \tag{25}$$

$$h_{xz}^{(1)} = h_{xz}^{(b)}e^{-i\omega t}\left(Z_{xz} + \gamma Z_{xz}^{(\gamma)}\right), \tag{26}$$

$$h_{ty}^{(1)} = h_{ty}^{(b)}e^{iqz}\left(Z_{ty} + \gamma Z_{ty}^{(\gamma)}\right), \tag{27}$$

where $Z_{xy}^{(\gamma)}$, $Z_{xz}^{(\gamma)}$ and $Z_{ty}^{(\gamma)}$ are treated as perturbations (in γ) of the main solutions Z_{xy}, Z_{xz} and Z_{ty} . The equations of motion for the metric fluctuations follow from the action (14), where the γ-dependent part is treated as a perturbation. The differential equation for Z_{xy} is

$$\partial_u^2 Z_{xy} - \frac{1+u^2}{u(1-u^2)}\partial_u Z_{xy} + \frac{\omega^2 - q^2(1-u^2)}{4\pi^2T_0^2u(1-u^2)^2}Z_{xy} = 0. \tag{28}$$

The functions Z_{xz} and Z_{ty} obey the same differential equation (28) with q and ω, respectively, set to zero. Note that we cannot impose the incoming wave boundary condition at the horizon on Z_{ty}, as it has no time dependence. Instead, the Dirichlet condition $Z_{ty} = 0$ is used [44].

The solutions to quadratic order in ω and q are given by

$$Z_{xy} = \left(1-u^2\right)^{-\frac{i\omega}{4\pi T_0}(1-15\gamma)}\Bigg[1 + \\ + \frac{6\ln(u+1)\left[\omega^2\ln\left(\frac{u+1}{4}\right)+4\omega^2-4q^2\right]+\omega^2\left[\pi^2-6\ln^2(2)-12\omega^2\,\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{1-u}{2}\right)\right]}{96\pi^2T_0^2}\Bigg], \tag{29}$$

$$Z_{xz} = \left(1-u^2\right)^{-\frac{i\omega}{4\pi T_0}(1-15\gamma)}\Bigg[1 + \\ + \frac{6\omega^2\ln(u+1)\left[\ln\left(\frac{u+1}{4}\right)+4\right]+\omega^2\left[\pi^2-6\ln^2(2)-12\omega^2\,\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{1-u}{2}\right)\right]}{96\pi^2T_0^2}\Bigg], \tag{30}$$

$$Z_{ty} = 1-u^2-\frac{q^2u(1-u)}{4\pi^2T_0^2}. \tag{31}$$

We now use these solutions in the full equations of motion to find the differential equations obeyed by $Z_{xy}^{(\gamma)}$, $Z_{xz}^{(\gamma)}$ and $Z_{ty}^{(\gamma)}$. All three equations have the form (indices suppressed)

$$\partial_u^2 Z^{(\gamma)} - \frac{1+u^2}{u(1-u^2)}\partial_u Z^{(\gamma)} + \frac{\omega^2-q^2(1-u^2)}{4\pi^2T_0^2u(1-u^2)^2}Z^{(\gamma)} = \mathcal{G}(u), \tag{32}$$

where the functions $\mathcal{G}(u)$ on the right hand side are, respectively,

$$\mathcal{G}_{xy} = h_{xy}^{(b)}\left(1-u^2\right)^{-\frac{i\omega}{4\pi T_0}}\Bigg[\frac{3i\omega u^2\left(129u^4+94u^2-25\right)}{\pi T_0\left(u^2-1\right)} \\ + \frac{1}{4\pi^2T_0^2(u-1)(u+1)^2}\Bigg[-6\omega^2u^2(u+1)\left(129u^4+94u^2-25\right)\ln(u+1) \\ + 6\omega^2u^2\left(-129u^5+35u^3-89u^2+(u+1)\left(129u^4+94u^2-25\right)\ln(2)+30u+30\right) \\ + q^2u(u+1)\left(774u^5-1625u^4+564u^3+225u^2-150u+75\right)\Bigg]\Bigg], \tag{33}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{xz} = h_{xz}^{(b)}\left(1-u^2\right)^{-\frac{i\omega}{4\pi T_0}}\Bigg[\frac{3i\omega u^2\left(129u^4+94u^2-25\right)}{\pi T_0\left(u^2-1\right)} \\ + \frac{1}{4\pi^2T_0^2(u-1)(u+1)^2}\Bigg[-6\omega^2u^2(u+1)\left(129u^4+94u^2-25\right)\ln(u+1) \\ + 6\omega^2u^2\left(-129u^5+35u^3-89u^2+(u+1)\left(129u^4+94u^2-25\right)\ln(2)+30u+30\right)\Bigg]\Bigg], \tag{34}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{ty} = h_{ty}^{(b)}\left[720u^4\left(4-3u^2\right)+\frac{5q^2u\left(432u^5+551u^4-576u^3+15u^2+15\right)}{4\pi^2T_0^2}\right]. \tag{35}$$

The solutions (to quadratic order in ω and q) are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{xy}^{(\gamma)} = & \left(1-u^2\right)^{-\frac{i\omega}{4\pi T_0}}\Bigg\{\frac{i\omega u^2\left(43u^4+135u^2+195\right)}{4\pi T_0}+\frac{1}{48\pi^2T_0^2}\Bigg[180\omega^2\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{1-u}{2}\right)\\
& -258\omega^2u^6+258\omega^2u^5-810\omega^2u^4-160\omega^2u^3-1170\omega^2u^2\\
& +6\omega^2\left(43u^4+135u^2+195\right)u^2\ln\left(\frac{2}{u+1}\right)\\
& +3630\omega^2\ln(u+1)+30\omega^2\ln(64)\ln(u+1)-15\pi^2\omega^2+90\omega^2\ln^2(2)\\
& +258q^2u^6-780q^2u^5+810q^2u^4\\
& -1000q^2u^3+1170q^2u^2-2100q^2u+2100q^2\ln(u+1)\Bigg]\Bigg\},
\end{aligned}
\tag{36}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{xz}^{(\gamma)} = & \left(1-u^2\right)^{-\frac{i\omega}{4\pi T_0}}\Bigg\{\frac{i\omega u^2\left(43u^4+135u^2+195\right)}{4\pi T_0}+\frac{1}{48\pi^2T_0^2}\Bigg[180\omega^2\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{1-u}{2}\right)\\
& -258\omega^2u^6+258\omega^2u^5-810\omega^2u^4-160\omega^2u^3-1170\omega^2u^2\\
& +6\omega^2\left(43u^4+135u^2+195\right)u^2\ln\left(\frac{2}{u+1}\right)\\
& +3630\omega^2\ln(u+1)+30\omega^2\ln(64)\ln(u+1)-15\pi^2\omega^2+90\omega^2\ln^2(2)\Bigg]\Bigg\},
\end{aligned}
\tag{37}
$$

$$
Z_{tz}^{(\gamma)} = -15\left(5u^2-8u^6+3u^8\right)-\frac{5q^2u^2\left(1+24u^4-16u^5-9u^6\right)}{4\pi^2T_0^2}. \tag{38}
$$

The next step is to use the above solutions to find the second-order perturbation $h_{xy}^{(2)}$ of the metric to linear order in γ and to quadratic order in ω and q. We begin by computing the action (14) with

$$
g_{xy} = g_{xy}^{(0)}+\frac{(\pi T_0)^2}{u}\epsilon h_{xy}^{(1)}+\frac{(\pi T_0)^2}{u}\epsilon^2 h_{xy}^{(2)}, \tag{39}
$$

$$
g_{xz} = g_{xz}^{(0)}+\frac{(\pi T_0)^2}{u}\epsilon h_{xz}^{(1)}, \tag{40}
$$

$$
g_{ty} = g_{ty}^{(0)}+\frac{(\pi T_0)^2}{u}\epsilon h_{ty}^{(1)} \tag{41}
$$

to order ϵ^4. This gives us the effective action and the equation of motion for the fluctuation $h_{xy}^{(2)}$ that can be solved perturbatively to linear order in γ and quadratic order in ω and q. We can look for a solution in the form

$$
h_{xy}^{(2)} = h_{xz}^{(b)}h_{ty}^{(b)}e^{-i\omega t+iqz}\left(Y_{xy}+\gamma Y_{xy}^{(\gamma)}\right). \tag{42}
$$

At $\gamma = 0$, the full fluctuation equation is

$$
\partial_u^2 Y_{xy}-\frac{1+u^2}{u\left(1-u^2\right)}\partial_u Y_{xy}+\frac{\omega^2-q^2(1-u^2)}{4\pi^2T_0^2u(1-u^2)^2}Y_{xy}-\frac{\omega\, q\, h_{xz}^{(b)}h_{ty}^{(b)}}{4\pi^2T_0^2u\left(1-u^2\right)^2}Z_{xz}Z_{ty} = 0, \tag{43}
$$

where only the ω and q-independent parts of Z_{xz} and Z_{ty} are relevant for our purposes.

By further writing $Y_{xy}^{(\gamma)} = \left(1-u^2\right)^{-\frac{i\omega}{4\pi T_0}} y(u)$, the differential equation for $y(u)$ at quadratic order in ω and q is simply

$$\partial_u^2 y - \frac{1+u^2}{u\left(1-u^2\right)}\partial_u y + \frac{\omega q u\left(774u^5+175u^4+564u^3+225u^2-150u+75\right)}{4\pi^2 T_0^2\left(1-u^2\right)} = 0. \tag{44}$$

The full solution of the two differential equations is given by

$$\begin{aligned} h_{xy}^{(2)} &= h_{xz}^{(b)} h_{ty}^{(b)} e^{-i\omega t+iqz}\frac{\omega q}{4\pi^2 T_0^2}\Bigg[-\left(1-u^2\right)^{-\frac{i\omega}{4\pi T_0}(1-15\gamma)}\ln(u+1) \\ &+\gamma\left(1-u^2\right)^{-\frac{i\omega}{4\pi T_0}}\left[\frac{1}{6}u\left(129u^5+42u^4+405u^3+220u^2+585u+1110\right)-185\ln(u+1)\right]\Bigg]. \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

We now compute the holographic stress-energy tensor for the induced metric $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$,

$$T^{\mu\nu} = -\sqrt{-\gamma}\frac{N_c^2}{4\pi^2}\frac{(\pi T_0)^2}{u}\left[K^{\mu\nu}-K\gamma^{\mu\nu}+3\left(\gamma^{\mu\nu}-\frac{1}{6}G_{(\gamma)}^{\mu\nu}\right)\right], \tag{46}$$

which has the same tensorial form as in the Einstein-Hilbert gravity, with no higher-derivative terms contributing [44]. Taking the derivatives of T^{xy} with respect to the boundary values of $h_{xz}^{(1)}$ and $h_{ty}^{(1)}$, we find the three-point function,

$$G_{RAA}^{xy,ty,xz}(p,q) = \frac{N_c^2}{16}p^0 q^z T_0^2\left(1+380\gamma\right), \tag{47}$$

and, using the Kubo formula (22), the coefficient λ_2 in Eq. (12).

III. CURVATURE SQUARED CORRECTIONS TO SECOND ORDER TRANSPORT COEFFICIENTS

In this Section we determine corrections to the second order transport coefficients in a (hypothetical) four-dimensional CFT dual to a bulk gravity with generic curvature squared terms. The five-dimensional bulk action is

$$S_{R^2} = \frac{1}{2\kappa_5^2}\int d^5x\sqrt{-g}\left[R-2\Lambda+L^2\left(\alpha_1 R^2+\alpha_2 R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu}+\alpha_3 R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\right)\right], \tag{48}$$

where the cosmological constant $\Lambda = -6/L^2$ (we set $L=1$ in the rest of this Section). For generic values of the coefficients α_1, α_2, α_3, the curvature squared terms are treated perturbatively. The Gauss-Bonnet action is obtained from the action (48) by setting $\alpha_1 = \alpha_3 = \lambda_{GB}/2$ and $\alpha_2 = -2\lambda_{GB}$.

To compute curvature squared corrections to second order transport coefficients in a dual four-dimensional quantum field theory to linear order in α_i, one can use the field redefinition and the already known results for $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM and Gauss-Bonnet gravity.

First, we set $\alpha_3 = 0$ and use the field redefinition discussed in [20], [19] ,

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}+\alpha_2\bar{R}_{\mu\nu}-\frac{1}{3}\left(\alpha_2+2\alpha_1\right)\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\bar{R}, \tag{49}$$

to rewrite the action (48) in the two-derivative form (to linear order in α_1 and α_2):

$$\tilde{S} \equiv S_{R^2}\left[\alpha_3 = 0\right] = \frac{1+\mathcal{K}}{2\kappa_5^2}\int d^5x\sqrt{-\bar{g}}\left[\bar{R} - 2\bar{\Lambda}\right] + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_i^2). \tag{50}$$

Here, in the notations of [20], $\mathcal{K} = \frac{2\Lambda}{3}(5\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)$ and $\bar{\Lambda} = \frac{\Lambda}{1+\mathcal{K}}$. The field redefinition (49) implies that the metric satisfies

$$g_{\mu\nu} = A^2\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_i^2), \tag{51}$$

where

$$A = 1 - \frac{\mathcal{K}}{3} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_i^2). \tag{52}$$

We can further transform the metric $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ to bring the action $\tilde{S}$ into the standard Einstein-Hilbert form with the cosmological constant Λ dual to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric $SU(N_c)$ Yang-Mills theory in the regime of infinite 't Hooft coupling and infinite N_c. Indeed, consider a new metric $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ defined by $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = B^2\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ (the metric determinant and the Ricci scalar transform, correspondingly, as $\sqrt{-\bar{g}} = B^5\sqrt{-\tilde{g}}$ and $\bar{R} = B^{-2}\tilde{R}$). With B given by

$$B = 1 + \frac{\mathcal{K}}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_i^2), \tag{53}$$

we can now write the action (50) in the standard Einstein-Hilbert form,

$$\tilde{S} = \frac{1}{2\tilde{\kappa}_5^2}\int d^5x\sqrt{-\tilde{g}}\left[\tilde{R} - 2\Lambda\right] + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_i^2), \tag{54}$$

where the redefined Newton's constant is

$$\tilde{\kappa}_5^2 = B^{-5}\kappa_5^2. \tag{55}$$

The original metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, which is related to $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ by $g_{\mu\nu} = A^2B^2\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_i^2)$, can be written to linear order in α_1 and α_2 as

$$g_{\mu\nu} = e^{-2\omega}\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_i^2)\,, \tag{56}$$

where $e^{-2\omega} = 1/A$. From Eqs. (54), (56), it is clear that the stress-energy tensor $\tilde{T}^{\mu\nu}_{\mathcal{N}=4}$ of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM computed from (54), with $\tilde{\kappa}_5^2$, is related to the stress-energy tensor of a theory dual to $S_{R^2}[\alpha_3 = 0]$ by a global Weyl transformation

$$T^{\mu\nu}_{R^2}\left[\alpha_3 = 0\right] = e^{6\omega}\,\tilde{T}^{\mu\nu}_{\mathcal{N}=4}, \tag{57}$$

and the redefinition of κ_5^2 given by Eq. (55). Then the scaling arguments[7] imply

$$\eta = e^{3\omega}\tilde{\eta}, \qquad \eta\tau_\Pi = e^{2\omega}\tilde{\eta}\tilde{\tau}_\Pi, \qquad \lambda_{1,2,3} = e^{2\omega}\tilde{\lambda}_{1,2,3}, \qquad \kappa = e^{2\omega}\tilde{\kappa}, \tag{58}$$

[7] See e.g. [2] for a discussion of Weyl transformations in hydrodynamics.

where all the transport coefficients with the overhead tildes depend on $\tilde{\kappa}_5^2$. The $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM theory coefficients are

$$\tilde{\eta} = \frac{\pi^3 \tilde{T}^3}{2\tilde{\kappa}_5^2}, \qquad \tilde{\tau_\Pi} = \frac{2-\ln 2}{2\pi\tilde{T}}, \qquad \tilde{\kappa} = \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{\pi\tilde{T}}, \tag{59}$$

$$\tilde{\lambda}_1 = \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{2\pi\tilde{T}}, \qquad \tilde{\lambda}_2 = -\frac{\tilde{\eta}\ln 2}{\pi\tilde{T}}, \qquad \tilde{\lambda}_3 = 0. \tag{60}$$

The temperature of the $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM theory is given by $\tilde{T} = r_+/\pi$, where r_+ is the radial position of the black brane horizon. Using Eqs. (52) and (53), we find that the shear viscosity in a (hypothetical) field theory dual to the gravitational background described by the action $S_{R^2}[\alpha_3 = 0]$ is given by

$$\eta = A^{3/2} B^5 \frac{r_+^3}{2\kappa_5^2} = \frac{r_+^3}{2\kappa_5^2}\Big(1 - 8\,(5\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)\Big) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2)\,, \tag{61}$$

which agrees[8] with the results obtained in [19, 53]. Here r_+ is the location of the event horizon of a black brane solution to the equations of motion following from the action $S_{R^2}[\alpha_3 = 0]$.

The full result (for arbitrary α_3 to linear order) is thus

$$\eta = \frac{r_+^3}{2\kappa_5^2}\Big(1 - 8\,(5\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)\Big) + C_\eta \alpha_3 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_i^2)\,, \tag{62}$$

where the coefficient C_η remains undetermined. Similarly, the second-order transport coefficients to linear order in α_1 and α_2 are given by the corresponding $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM results multiplied by AB^5:

$$\eta\tau_\Pi = \frac{r_+^2\,(2-\ln 2)}{4\kappa_5^2}\Big(1 - \frac{26}{3}\,(5\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)\Big) + C_{\tau_\Pi}\alpha_3 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_i^2), \tag{63}$$

$$\kappa = \frac{r_+^2}{2\kappa_5^2}\Big(1 - \frac{26}{3}\,(5\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)\Big) + C_\kappa \alpha_3 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_i^2), \tag{64}$$

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{r_+^2}{4\kappa_5^2}\Big(1 - \frac{26}{3}\,(5\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)\Big) + C_{\lambda_1}\alpha_3 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_i^2), \tag{65}$$

$$\lambda_2 = -\frac{r_+^2 \ln 2}{2\kappa_5^2}\Big(1 - \frac{26}{3}\,(5\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)\Big) + C_{\lambda_2}\alpha_3 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_i^2), \tag{66}$$

$$\lambda_3 = C_{\lambda_3}\alpha_3 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_i^2). \tag{67}$$

Here we added the undetermined terms linear in α_3. To restore the dependence on α_3 (to linear order), recall that the Gauss-Bonnet expressions for transport coefficients would be restored (to linear order in λ_{GB}) by substituting $\alpha_1 = \lambda_{GB}/2$, $\alpha_2 = -2\lambda_{GB}$ and $\alpha_3 = \lambda_{GB}/2$. For example, according to (63), the coefficient κ in the holographic Gauss-Bonnet liquid to linear order in λ_{GB} should be equal to

$$\kappa = \frac{r_+^2}{2\kappa_5^2}\Big(1 - \frac{13}{3}\lambda_{GB}\Big) + C_\kappa \frac{\lambda_{GB}}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_i^2)\,. \tag{68}$$

[8] When comparing with [19], one should note that z_0 in [19] denotes the location of the horizon in the solution unaffected by curvature squared terms, whereas our r_+ is the horizon of the corrected solution. The relation between these parameters brings in the α_3 dependence, which may appear to be missing from (61) at first sight.

On the other hand, all transport coefficients of the holographic Gauss-Bonnet liquid are known explicitly (non-perturbatively [36] and to linear order [35]):

$$\eta\tau_\Pi = \frac{r_+^2\,(2-\ln 2)}{4\kappa_5^2} - \frac{r_+^2\,(25-7\ln 2)}{8\kappa_5^2}\lambda_{GB} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda_{GB}^2), \tag{69}$$

$$\kappa = \frac{r_+^2}{2\kappa_5^2} - \frac{17r_+^2}{4\kappa_5^2}\lambda_{GB} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda_{GB}^2), \tag{70}$$

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{r_+^2}{4\kappa_5^2} - \frac{9r_+^2}{8\kappa_5^2}\lambda_{GB} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda_{GB}^2), \tag{71}$$

$$\lambda_2 = -\frac{r_+^2\ln 2}{2\kappa_5^2} - \frac{7r_+^2\,(1-\ln 2)}{4\kappa_5^2}\lambda_{GB} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda_{GB}^2), \tag{72}$$

$$\lambda_3 = -\frac{14r_+^2}{\kappa_5^2}\lambda_{GB} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda_{GB}^2). \tag{73}$$

Comparing Eqs. (68) and (70), and taking into account $\alpha_3 = \lambda_{GB}/2$, we read off the coefficient $C_\kappa = -25r_+^2/6\kappa_5^2$. All other coefficients are determined in the same way, and we find the transport coefficients of a (hypothetical) holographic liquid described by the dual gravitational action (48) to linear order in α_i:

$$\eta = \frac{r_+^3}{2\kappa_5^2}\,(1-8\,(5\alpha_1+\alpha_2)) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_i^2), \tag{74}$$

$$\eta\tau_\Pi = \frac{r_+^2\,(2-\ln 2)}{4\kappa_5^2}\left(1-\frac{26}{3}\,(5\alpha_1+\alpha_2)\right) - \frac{r_+^2\,(23+5\ln 2)}{12\kappa_5^2}\alpha_3 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_i^2), \tag{75}$$

$$\kappa = \frac{r_+^2}{2\kappa_5^2}\left(1-\frac{26}{3}\,(5\alpha_1+\alpha_2)\right) - \frac{25r_+^2}{6\kappa_5^2}\alpha_3 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_i^2), \tag{76}$$

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{r_+^2}{4\kappa_5^2}\left(1-\frac{26}{3}\,(5\alpha_1+\alpha_2)\right) - \frac{r_+^2}{12\kappa_5^2}\alpha_3 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_i^2), \tag{77}$$

$$\lambda_2 = -\frac{r_+^2\ln 2}{2\kappa_5^2}\left(1-\frac{26}{3}\,(5\alpha_1+\alpha_2)\right) - \frac{r_+^2\,(21+5\ln 2)}{6\kappa_5^2}\alpha_3 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_i^2), \tag{78}$$

$$\lambda_3 = -\frac{28r_+^2}{\kappa_5^2}\alpha_3 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_i^2). \tag{79}$$

In Eqs. (74)-(79), r_+ is the location of the event horizon in the full black brane solution involving all three α_i corrections. The results for τ_Π and κ were previously derived in [53] and are in agreement with our Eqs. (75) and (76). The expressions for λ_1, λ_2 and λ_3 are new.

Finally, by using the expressions (75), (77) and (78), we confirm that the Haack-Yarom relation among the second order coefficients is satisfied to linear order in α_i for a (hypothetical) holographic liquid dual to five-dimensional gravity with generic curvature squared terms given by the action (48):

$$2\eta\tau_\Pi - 4\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = \mathcal{O}(\alpha_i^2). \tag{80}$$

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have made an observation that the universal relation (6) among the second order transport coefficients holds not only to leading order in conformal liquids with dual gravity

description, as suggested by Haack-Yarom theorem, but remains valid to next to leading order in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM and in a (hypothetical) fluid dual to five-dimensional gravity with generic curvature squared terms (in particular, Gauss-Bonnet gravity). It is not clear to us whether this result can be generalized to an arbitrary higher-derivative correction to Einstein-Hilbert action (to linear order in the corresponding couplings). Such a generalization would follow from knowing (from e.g. inequalities obeyed by correlation functions or restrictions imposed by the entropy current) that $H(\lambda_{HD}) \leq 0$, where λ_{HD} is the higher-derivative coupling, since then $\lambda_{HD} = 0$ would be a maximum of the function $H(\lambda_{HD})$ with $H(0) = 0$ by the Haack-Yarom theorem, and the linear term in the expansion of $H(\lambda_{HD})$ for small λ_{HD} would necessarily vanish. This is indeed the case for the Gauss-Bonnet gravity, see Eq. (7). Before looking for a general proof, however, it may be useful to check other examples, including other dimensions and charged backgrounds. Weak coupling calculations seem to suggest that H is a non-trivial function of the coupling, yet it would be desirable to know this explicitly for a conformal theory (e.g. $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM) at weak coupling. Also, it may be interesting to generalize the Haack-Yarom theorem to non-conformal holographic liquids[9].

The physical significance of the function H is not entirely clear but it might be related to one of the parameters regulating dissipation. The normalized rate of the entropy production in a conformal fluid near equilibrium is given by [55]

$$\frac{\nabla_a s^a}{s} = \frac{\eta}{2sT}\sigma_{ab}\sigma^{ab} + \frac{\kappa - 2\lambda_1}{4sT}\sigma_{ab}\sigma^a_c\sigma^{bc} + \left(\frac{A_1}{2s} + \frac{\kappa - \eta\tau_\Pi}{2sT}\right)\sigma_{ab}\left[\langle D\sigma^{ab}\rangle + \frac{1}{3}\sigma^{ab}\left(\nabla\cdot u\right)\right], \tag{81}$$

where the coefficient A_1 remains unknown at present. Dissipationless conformal fluids have $\eta = 0$, $\kappa = 2\lambda_1$ and $2\eta\tau_\Pi - 4\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 0$ [56], i.e. for such fluids $A_1 = \lambda_2/2T$. Strongly coupled $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM is not a dissipationless fluid[10], but with $\kappa - 2\lambda_1 = O(\lambda^{-3/2})$, low viscosity-entropy ratio and $H = 0$ it may be not too far from it, comparing especially to the weak coupling limit $\lambda \to 0$, where $\eta/s \sim 1/\lambda^2 \ln\lambda^{-1}$, $\lambda_1 \sim T^2/\lambda^4 \ln^2\lambda^{-1}$ and $\kappa \sim T^2/\lambda^2$ [33]. This raises the possibility that the near-equilibrium hydrodynamic entropy production is generically suppressed at strong coupling as discussed recently in [57].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Sayantani Bhattacharya, Guy Moore, Paul Romatschke and Evgeny Shaverin for correspondence, and Alex Buchel, Pavel Kovtun, Rob Myers, Andy O'Bannon, Mukund Rangamani, Julian Sonner, Larry Yaffe and Amos Yarom for discussions. This work was supported by the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework

[9] As shown by Bigazzi and Cotrone [54], the relation (6) remains valid in holographic theories where conformality is broken by a marginally relevant deformation, at leading order in the deformation parameter.

[10] We note that the Gauss-Bonnet fluid dual to (4) in the limit $\lambda_{GB} \to 1/4$ is not a dissipationless liquid, either: it has vanishing shear viscosity but $\kappa \neq 2\lambda_1$ and $2\eta\tau_\Pi - 4\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \neq 0$ in that limit [36].

Programme (ERC Grant agreement 307955).

[1] D. Forster, *Hydrodynamic Fluctuations, Broken Symmetry, and Correlation Functions* (Westview Press, 1995).

[2] Rudolf Baier, Paul Romatschke, Dam Thanh Son, Andrei O. Starinets, and Mikhail A. Stephanov, "Relativistic viscous hydrodynamics, conformal invariance, and holography," JHEP **0804**, 100 (2008), arXiv:0712.2451 [hep-th].

[3] Dam T. Son and Andrei O. Starinets, "Viscosity, Black Holes, and Quantum Field Theory," Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci. **57**, 95–118 (2007), arXiv:0704.0240 [hep-th].

[4] Thomas Schaefer, "Fluid Dynamics and Viscosity in Strongly Correlated Fluids," arXiv:1403.0653 [hep-ph].

[5] Pavel Kovtun and Laurence G. Yaffe, "Hydrodynamic fluctuations, long time tails, and supersymmetry," Phys.Rev. **D68**, 025007 (2003), arXiv:hep-th/0303010 [hep-th].

[6] Pavel Kovtun, Guy D. Moore, and Paul Romatschke, "The stickiness of sound: An absolute lower limit on viscosity and the breakdown of second order relativistic hydrodynamics," Phys.Rev. **D84**, 025006 (2011), arXiv:1104.1586 [hep-ph].

[7] J. M. Maldacena, "The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 231 (1998), arXiv:hep-th/9711200.

[8] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov, and A. M. Polyakov, "Gauge theory correlators from non-critical string theory," Phys. Lett. B **428**, 105 (1998), arXiv:hep-th/9802109.

[9] E. Witten, "Anti de Sitter space and holography," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 253 (1998), arXiv:hep-th/9802150.

[10] O. Aharony, S. S. Gubser, J. Maldacena, H. Ooguri, and Y. Oz, "Large N field theories, string theory and gravity," Phys. Rept. **323**, 183 (2000), arXiv:hep-th/9905111.

[11] P. Kovtun, Dam T. Son, and Andrei O. Starinets, "Viscosity in strongly interacting quantum field theories from black hole physics," Phys.Rev.Lett. **94**, 111601 (2005), arXiv:hep-th/0405231 [hep-th].

[12] Alex Buchel, "On universality of stress-energy tensor correlation functions in supergravity," Phys.Lett. **B609**, 392–401 (2005), arXiv:hep-th/0408095 [hep-th].

[13] Pavel Kovtun, Dam T. Son, and Andrei O. Starinets, "Holography and hydrodynamics: Diffusion on stretched horizons," JHEP **0310**, 064 (2003), arXiv:hep-th/0309213 [hep-th].

[14] Alex Buchel and James T. Liu, "Universality of the shear viscosity in supergravity," Phys.Rev.Lett. **93**, 090602 (2004), arXiv:hep-th/0311175 [hep-th].

[15] Andrei O. Starinets, "Quasinormal spectrum and the black hole membrane paradigm," Phys.Lett. **B670**, 442–445 (2009), arXiv:0806.3797 [hep-th].

[16] S. Cremonini, "The Shear Viscosity to Entropy Ratio: A Status Report," Mod.Phys.Lett. **B25**, 1867–1888 (2011), arXiv:1108.0677 [hep-th].

[17] Alex Buchel, James T. Liu, and Andrei O. Starinets, "Coupling constant dependence of the shear viscosity in N=4 supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory," Nucl.Phys. **B707**, 56–68 (2005), arXiv:hep-th/0406264 [hep-th].

[18] Alex Buchel, "Resolving disagreement for eta/s in a CFT plasma at finite coupling," Nucl.Phys. **B803**, 166–170 (2008), arXiv:0805.2683 [hep-th].

[19] Yevgeny Kats and Pavel Petrov, "Effect of curvature squared corrections in AdS on the viscosity of the dual gauge theory," JHEP **0901**, 044 (2009), arXiv:0712.0743 [hep-th].

[20] Mauro Brigante, Hong Liu, Robert C. Myers, Stephen Shenker, and Sho Yaida, "Viscosity Bound

Violation in Higher Derivative Gravity," Phys.Rev. **D77**, 126006 (2008), arXiv:0712.0805 [hep-th].
[21] Mauro Brigante, Hong Liu, Robert C. Myers, Stephen Shenker, and Sho Yaida, "The Viscosity Bound and Causality Violation," Phys.Rev.Lett. **100**, 191601 (2008), arXiv:0802.3318 [hep-th].
[22] Alex Buchel and Robert C. Myers, "Causality of Holographic Hydrodynamics," JHEP **0908**, 016 (2009), arXiv:0906.2922 [hep-th].
[23] Alex Buchel, Jorge Escobedo, Robert C. Myers, Miguel F. Paulos, Aninda Sinha, and Michael Smolkin, "Holographic GB gravity in arbitrary dimensions," JHEP **1003**, 111 (2010), arXiv:0911.4257 [hep-th].
[24] Alex Buchel and Sera Cremonini, "Viscosity Bound and Causality in Superfluid Plasma," JHEP **1010**, 026 (2010), arXiv:1007.2963 [hep-th].
[25] Xian O. Camanho, Jose D. Edelstein, Juan Maldacena, and Alexander Zhiboedov, "Causality Constraints on Corrections to the Graviton Three-Point Coupling," arXiv:1407.5597 [hep-th].
[26] Paolo Benincasa, Alex Buchel, and Andrei O. Starinets, "Sound waves in strongly coupled non-conformal gauge theory plasma," Nucl.Phys. **B733**, 160–187 (2006), arXiv:hep-th/0507026 [hep-th].
[27] Paolo Benincasa and Alex Buchel, "Transport properties of N=4 supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory at finite coupling," JHEP **0601**, 103 (2006), arXiv:hep-th/0510041 [hep-th].
[28] Javier Mas and Javier Tarrio, "Hydrodynamics from the Dp-brane," JHEP **0705**, 036 (2007), arXiv:hep-th/0703093 [HEP-TH].
[29] A. Buchel, "Bulk viscosity of gauge theory plasma at strong coupling," Phys.Lett. **B663**, 286–289 (2008), arXiv:0708.3459 [hep-th].
[30] A. Buchel, "Violation of the holographic bulk viscosity bound," Phys.Rev. **D85**, 066004 (2012), arXiv:1110.0063 [hep-th].
[31] Johanna Erdmenger, Michael Haack, Matthias Kaminski, and Amos Yarom, "Fluid dynamics of R-charged black holes," JHEP **0901**, 055 (2009), arXiv:0809.2488 [hep-th].
[32] Michael Haack and Amos Yarom, "Universality of second order transport coefficients from the gauge-string duality," Nucl.Phys. **B813**, 140–155 (2009), arXiv:0811.1794 [hep-th].
[33] Mark Abraao York and Guy D. Moore, "Second order hydrodynamic coefficients from kinetic theory," Phys.Rev. **D79**, 054011 (2009), arXiv:0811.0729 [hep-ph].
[34] B. Betz, D. Henkel, and D.H. Rischke, "From kinetic theory to dissipative fluid dynamics," Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys. **62**, 556–561 (2009), arXiv:0812.1440 [nucl-th].
[35] Evgeny Shaverin and Amos Yarom, "Universality of second order transport in Gauss-Bonnet gravity," JHEP **1304**, 013 (2013), arXiv:1211.1979 [hep-th].
[36] Sašo Grozdanov and Andrei Starinets, "Gauss-Bonnet gravity and dissipationless fluids," to appear (2015).
[37] Evgeny Shaverin, Master of Science Thesis, Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa (2013).
[38] Evgeny Shaverin, "Second order hydrodynamics via AdS/CFT ," to appear (2015).
[39] G. Policastro, Dam T. Son, and Andrei O. Starinets, "The Shear viscosity of strongly coupled N=4 supersymmetric Yang-Mills plasma," Phys.Rev.Lett. **87**, 081601 (2001), arXiv:hep-th/0104066 [hep-th].
[40] Sayantani Bhattacharyya, Veronika E Hubeny, Shiraz Minwalla, and Mukund Rangamani, "Nonlinear Fluid Dynamics from Gravity," JHEP **0802**, 045 (2008), arXiv:0712.2456 [hep-th].
[41] Alex Buchel, "Shear viscosity of boost invariant plasma at finite coupling," Nucl.Phys. **B802**, 281–306 (2008), arXiv:0801.4421 [hep-th].
[42] Alex Buchel and Miguel Paulos, "Relaxation time of a CFT plasma at finite coupling," Nucl.Phys. **B805**, 59–71 (2008), arXiv:0806.0788 [hep-th].
[43] Alex Buchel and Miguel Paulos, "Second order hydrodynamics of a CFT plasma from boost invariant expansion," Nucl.Phys. **B810**, 40–65 (2009), arXiv:0808.1601 [hep-th].
[44] Omid Saremi and Kiyoumars A. Sohrabi, "Causal three-point functions and nonlinear second-order

hydrodynamic coefficients in AdS/CFT," JHEP **1111**, 147 (2011), arXiv:1105.4870 [hep-th].

[45] Simon C. Huot, Sangyong Jeon, and Guy D. Moore, "Shear viscosity in weakly coupled N = 4 super Yang-Mills theory compared to QCD," Phys.Rev.Lett. **98**, 172303 (2007), arXiv:hep-ph/0608062 [hep-ph].

[46] Alex Buchel, Robert C. Myers, Miguel F. Paulos, and Aninda Sinha, "Universal holographic hydrodynamics at finite coupling," Phys.Lett. **B669**, 364–370 (2008), arXiv:0808.1837 [hep-th].

[47] Steven S. Gubser, Igor R. Klebanov, and Arkady A. Tseytlin, "Coupling constant dependence in the thermodynamics of N=4 supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory," Nucl.Phys. **B534**, 202–222 (1998), arXiv:hep-th/9805156 [hep-th].

[48] Guy D. Moore and Kiyoumars A. Sohrabi, "Kubo Formulae for Second-Order Hydrodynamic Coefficients," Phys.Rev.Lett. **106**, 122302 (2011), arXiv:1007.5333 [hep-ph].

[49] Peter Arnold, Diana Vaman, Chaolun Wu, and Wei Xiao, "Second order hydrodynamic coefficients from 3-point stress tensor correlators via AdS/CFT," JHEP **1110**, 033 (2011), arXiv:1105.4645 [hep-th].

[50] Julian S. Schwinger, "Brownian motion of a quantum oscillator," J.Math.Phys. **2**, 407–432 (1961).

[51] Leonid V. Keldysh, "Diagram technique for nonequilibrium processes," Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz. **47**, 1515–1527 (1964).

[52] Enke Wang and Ulrich W. Heinz, "A Generalized fluctuation dissipation theorem for nonlinear response functions," Phys.Rev. **D66**, 025008 (2002), arXiv:hep-th/9809016 [hep-th].

[53] Nabamita Banerjee and Suvankar Dutta, "Nonlinear Hydrodynamics from Flow of Retarded Green's Function," JHEP **1008**, 041 (2010), arXiv:1005.2367 [hep-th].

[54] Francesco Bigazzi and Aldo L. Cotrone, "An elementary stringy estimate of transport coefficients of large temperature QCD," JHEP **1008**, 128 (2010), arXiv:1006.4634 [hep-ph].

[55] Paul Romatschke, "Relativistic Viscous Fluid Dynamics and Non-Equilibrium Entropy," Class.Quant.Grav. **27**, 025006 (2010), arXiv:0906.4787 [hep-th].

[56] Jyotirmoy Bhattacharya, Sayantani Bhattacharyya, and Mukund Rangamani, "Non-dissipative hydrodynamics: Effective actions versus entropy current," JHEP **1302**, 153 (2013), arXiv:1211.1020 [hep-th].

[57] Felix M. Haehl, R. Loganayagam, and Mukund Rangamani, "The eightfold way to dissipation," arXiv:1412.1090 [hep-th].